arXiv:1611.06351v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 19 Nov 2016

Roton mode softening in a multi-component BEC

Abhijit Pendse*
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune, India 411008.
(Dated: November 22, 2016)

We consider the Gross-Pitaevskii(GP) model of a Bose-Einstein Condensate(BEC) for single-component and multi-component BEC. The pseudopotential for s-wave scattering between atoms is taken to be of width of the order of the s-wave scattering length. Such an interaction giving rise to a roton minimum in the spectrum of elementary excitations of a single component BEC is well known. We study this roton minimum for a multi-component BECs. We show that for a fixed inter-species scattering length, roton mode can be softened by increasing the number of distinct components of a multi-component BEC.

INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of Bose-Einstein Condensation(BEC) in dilute atomic gases[1, 2], there have been many experimental and theoretical investigations in this field. BEC has been shown to possess superfluidity[3], whose phenomenological theory was given by Landau[4]. An interesting extension of the idea of superfluidity is the supersolid phase, which is superfluidity with solid order[5, 6]. The search for such phases of matter has been a greatly pursued topic and there have been a lot of theoretical proposals in this regards in BEC[7, 8], along with experimental observations[9, 10]. The conventional approach to obtain such a state in BEC is through softening of roton modes. These have been mainly proposed in dipolar interactions, rydberg dressed atoms and optical lattice mediated interactions in a BEC[7, 8, 11]. These approaches take into consideration long range interactions on top of the s-wave scattering between atoms. There have also been studies taking into account the nonlocal nature of s-wave interactions, without taking into account additional long range interactions on top of it[12, 13]. Observation and lowering of roton minimum was observed in these cases too.

Apart from changing various parameters in a BEC with a particular atom, like the density and scattering length[14], BECs with more than one distinct atomic component have also been obtained[15–17]. The most studied amongst the multi-component BECs are the two-component BECs. There have been extensive studies regarding the miscibility[18], stability[19] and varying the inter-species interaction strength[20] in a two component BEC. BEC with more than two components have also been studied[17].

The main tool for theoretical investigations in a BEC has been the mean field Gross-Pitaevskii(GP) equation[21, 22]. This approach has been used for BEC with a single component as well as BEC with multiple components. The local GP equation for a single-component BEC predicts the ground state of the BEC to be one with uniform density[3], with the order parameter $\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \sqrt{n}\, e^{-i\mu t/\hbar}$. The quantity $|\psi(\mathbf{r},t)|^2 = n$ gives the density of the condensate. Here μ is the chemical potential of the BEC. Small amplitude oscillations on top of this uniform density state have the dispersion relation as $\omega = \sqrt{(\hbar^2k^4/(4m^2)) + (k^2gn/m)}$[22]. A similar ground state exists for a multi-component BEC, where all the different components have a uniform density[22]. Also, similar dispersion relation exists for excitations on top of the different uniform densities in a multi-component BEC. However, this dispersion is in the presence of the δ-function pseudopotential, i.e. one whose range tends to zero. There have been studies in which the range of the inter-atomic potential is taken to be non-zero[23, 24]

It is well known that for the metastable BEC phase the details of the inter-atomic potential can be ignored and the real potential can be replaced by an effective pseudopotential(say V_{eff}) which is repulsive, for the symmetric s-wave scattering between atoms[3]. The condition for using this approximation is that $\int \mathbf{dr}\, V_{eff} = g$ holds, where $g = 4\pi\hbar^2 a/m$ denotes the strength of the inter-atomic s-wave interactions. This pseudopotential is taken to be a δ-function in a dilute BEC. However, instead of taking a δ-function, one may take a repulsive rectangular barrier as the scattering potential which may be able to capture the non-local behaviour of the inter-particle interactions. This sort of pseudopotential has been considered in literature[12, 25, 26]. As mentioned previously, this sort of extended pseudopotential gave rise to the formation of a roton minimum in a single component BEC[8, 12, 13]. This roton minimum can be further lowered by varying the interaction strength between atoms.

In this article, we study the effect of taking a nonlocal pseudopotential for s-wave scattering on the dispersion relation of a multi-component BEC. We consider the nonlocal s-wave scattering and do not consider any additional long range interactions. We start by discussing the existing results for small amplitude excitations on top of uniform density for single component BECs with δ-function pseudopotential. We then briefly discuss the extended pseudopotential in the form of a rectangular barrier which is extensively studied in literature[8, 12, 13, 24]. This barrier pseudopotential is a simple nonlocal extension of the δ-function pseudopo-

tential. We use this barrier pseudopotential to study elementary excitations in single as well as multi-component BEC. We first revisit the roton minimum appearing in the elementary excitation spectrum of a single component BEC with extended pseudopotential. This has been studied previously[12, 13]. We see how this roton minimum can be lowered by tuning the s-wave scattering length. However, this appearance of the roton minimum in the dispersion relation due to non-locality of s-wave scattering, lies outside the diluteness limit for a single-component BEC. The diluteness limit is given by $a^3n << 1$. Thus in a single component BEC, we cannot obtain the roton minimum, let alone lowering it, without the appearance of three body effects which would render the BEC unstable. We then study the effect of non-locality of s-wave scattering on the appearance and lowering of the roton minimum for a BEC with multiple components. We show that for multi-component BECs, the appearance and lowering of roton minimum can be achieved *in the diluteness limit* as we go on increasing the number of distinct components while also tuning the inter-species interaction strength. This is possible due to the inter-species interaction. The tunability of the inter-species s-wave interaction strength has been achieved experimentally[20]. Thus we can obtain stable excitations on top of the uniform density of BEC which corresponds to the so called supersolid state where there is a super-flow with a solid order[5].

SINGLE COMPONENT BEC

Excitations with local interactions

Let us discuss briefly the dispersion relation for a single component BEC with δ-function inter-particle pseudopotential given by $V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = g\ \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$. The Gross-Pitaveskii(GP) equation with such contact interactions is given by

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi(\mathbf{r},t) + g|\psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})|^{\mathbf{2}}\psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}). \quad (1)$$

This equation admits a solution with uniform density. This uniform density state of a BEC is given by $\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \sqrt{n}e^{-i\mu t/\hbar}$, where $|\psi(\mathbf{r},t)|^2$ gives the uniform density and μ is the chemical potential. Small amplitude oscillations on top of this uniform density ground state are taken to be of the form $\theta(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_l [u_l(\mathbf{r})e^{\frac{-i\omega_l t}{\hbar}} + v_l^*(\mathbf{r})e^{\frac{i\omega_l t}{\hbar}}]e^{-i\mu t/\hbar}$. The calculation of the small amplitude oscillations over a uniform density state is a standard procedure[3] which involves treating u_l and v_l as excitations with small amplitudes and hence neglecting their higher powers. Now, we drop the subscript l and take $u(\mathbf{r}) = ue^{i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r}}$, $v(\mathbf{r}) = ve^{i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r}}$ and collect terms which evolve in time identically. This procedure gives us the dispersion relation for excitations as $\omega = \sqrt{(\hbar^2k^4/(4m^2)) + (k^2gn/m)}$. This relation tells us that for small k, the dispersion is phonon like, whereas for large k it is particle like. To keep in mind is the fact that the current approach uses the δ-function approximation for the pseudopotential, which is equivalent to taking the range of a rectangular barrier pseudopotential tending to zero.

Next we briefly describe the extended pseudopotential used in literature and then use it to study the dispersion relation for a single component and then multi-component BECs in the presence of non-local s-wave scattering.

Extended pseudopotential

Since we are looking at dilute atomic BEC, we are mainly concerned with the low energy scattering between atoms. The most important contribution would then come from the s-wave scattering. So, instead of taking the actual interaction potential between atoms, we can work with a pseudopotential(V_{eff}), with the condition that $\int \mathbf{dr}\ V_{eff} = g$, where $g = 4\pi\hbar^2a/m$, a being the s-wave scattering length[3]. The standard pseudopotential used is the δ-function pseudopotential given by $V_{eff} = g\ \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$. However, there have been many works which use an extended pseudopotential in the form of a rectangular barrier[8, 12, 25]. This is the simplest extension of the δ-function pseudopotential to incorporate the effects of non-locality of inter-atomic interactions. Pomeau *et al.*[12] have taken the width of this rectangular barrier pseudopotential to be the s-wave scattering length. This is justified since, while considering low energy scattering from a rectangular barrier, the width of the barrier is of the order of the s-wave scattering length(see for example, book by Sakurai[27]). Considering such an extended pseudopotential, leads to formation of a roton minimum in the spectrum of elementary excitations which can be further lowered by increasing the s-wave scattering length. In what follows, we use this barrier pseudopotential and study its effect on the excitation spectrum of single as well as multi-component BEC. We take the width of the barrier pseudopotential to be of the order of the s-wave scattering length. We would like to emphasize here that we do not state that the width of the pseudopotential is exactly equal to the s-wave scattering length, but it is rather of the order of the s-wave scattering length.

Excitations with non-local interactions

Let us now look at the effect of non-local interactions on the small amplitude oscillation modes on top

of the uniform density state of a BEC. As mentioned before, the uniform density state of a BEC is given by $\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \sqrt{n}e^{-i\mu t/\hbar}$. Let us take the non-local interaction pseudopotential as $V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = 3g/[4\pi(\sigma a)^3]$ for $|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'| \leq \sigma a$ and zero otherwise, where σ is an order unity proportionality factor. Thus the width of the scattering pseudopotential is of the order of the s-wave scattering length.

The non-local GP equation in presence of the pseudopotential mentioned above can be derived from the full non-local GP equation, given by[3]

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi(\mathbf{r},t) + \psi(\mathbf{r},t)\int\psi^*(\mathbf{r}',t)V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\psi(\mathbf{r}',t)d\mathbf{r}',$$

Inserting the pseudopotential mentioned above, we get,

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi(\mathbf{r},t) + \frac{3g}{(\sigma a)^3}\psi(\mathbf{r},t)\int_0^{\sigma a}|\psi(\boldsymbol{\phi},t)|^2 d\boldsymbol{\phi}, \quad (2)$$

where, $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'$ and $d\boldsymbol{\phi}$ represents the infinitesimal volume element. The integral limits are so taken since we have taken the width of the scattering barrier to be of the order of the s-wave scattering length. We further set the dimensionless constant σ to unity. This we do while mentioning the caveat that the range of the pseudopotential is not exactly the scattering length, but is of the order of the scattering length.

Once again, we take the form of excitations on top of the uniform density state as $\theta(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_l [u_l(\mathbf{r})e^{\frac{-i\omega_l t}{\hbar}} + v_l^*(\mathbf{r})e^{\frac{i\omega_l t}{\hbar}}]e^{-i\mu t/\hbar}$ and treat u and v as excitations with small amplitudes and hence neglect their higher powers. As for the local interactions case, taking $u(\mathbf{r}) = ue^{i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r}}$, $v(\mathbf{r}) = ve^{i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r}}$ and collecting terms which evolve in time identically, we get

$$\omega = \pm\frac{\hbar}{m}\sqrt{\frac{k^4}{4} + (4\pi kn)\,\sin ka}. \quad (3)$$

This dispersion relation exhibits a roton minimum which can be lowered as we increase the scattering length as shown in Fig.(1). In the figure we have taken the density $n = 10^{15}cm^{-3}$ for which gap-less excitations are obtained for $a \sim 2.5\times10^{-5}cm$. Thus, the roton minimum touches the k axis at an $'a'$ which is beyond the $a^3n << 1$ limit. This would result in three body losses. Nonetheless, we see that it is possible to pull the roton minimum down in principle. Thus we get a hint that it might be possible to pull the roton minimum down, while still remaining in the diluteness limit, by introducing additional interactions such as those in multi-component BEC. Since the macroscopic wave-function of



FIG. 1: Figure shows the dispersion relation for single component BEC in the presence of non-local inter-particle interaction pseudopotential for certain values of the s-wave scattering length. The density is taken to be $(n) = 10^{15}cm^{-3}$.

different components in a multi-component BEC is different, there occur cross terms between the wave-functions in the GP equation, due to the inter-species scattering. Thus for multi-component BEC, there exist additional interaction terms than the ones in the GP equation for a single component BEC. In the following section, we consider multi-component BEC and study the manifestation of inter-species interactions in the dispersion relation of small amplitude oscillations.

MULTI-COMPONENT BEC

Excitations with local interactions

BECs with more than one components, in the absence of an external potential, can be analysed by taking into account the inter-particle interaction in the GP energy functional. This can be written as[28]

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\sum_j \int \mathbf{dr}\,|\nabla\psi_j(\mathbf{r})|^2 + \sum_j \int \mathbf{dr}\,\frac{|\psi_j(\mathbf{r})|^2}{2}\int \mathbf{dr}'\,\psi_j^*(\mathbf{r}')V_{jj}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\psi_j(\mathbf{r}') + \sum_{j,l}^{j>l}\int\int \mathbf{dr}'\mathbf{dr}\,\Big(\frac{\psi_j(\mathbf{r})\psi_j^*(\mathbf{r})V_{jl}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\psi_l(\mathbf{r}')\psi_l^*(\mathbf{r}')}{2}\Big). \tag{4}$$

where g_{ij} represents the inter-species s-wave scattering strength, $g_{ij} = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_{ij}(m_i+m_j)/(m_i m_j)$, m_i and m_j represent the mass of the atoms of different species whose wavefunctions are ψ_i and ψ_j respectively. The inter-particle scattering length is given by a_{ij}. If the scattering pseudopotential is taken to be δ-function as before with $V_{ii}^{eff}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = g_{ii}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$ for intra-species scattering and $V_{ij}^{eff}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = g_{ij}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$, we get the local GP equation for multi-component BEC as

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_j(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi_j(\mathbf{r},t) + g_{jj}|\psi_j(\mathbf{r},t)|^2\psi_j(\mathbf{r},t) + \psi_j(\mathbf{r},t)\sum_l^{j\neq l} g_{jl}|\psi_l(\mathbf{r},t)|^2, \tag{5}$$

for each of the several components, denoted by the subscript j.

Now, as for the single component case, these equations admit solutions with uniform density for each component given by $\psi_j(\mathbf{r},t) = \sqrt{n_j}e^{-i\mu_j t/\hbar}$. Such BEC might exist stably in many configurations with regards to the miscibility. We shall consider here a uniform, homogeneous mixture state of the many components. We shall first look at the dispersion relation of small amplitude oscillations for the local GP equation given by Eq.(5) and then look at the non-local case in the next section.

We take the small amplitude excitations over the state $\psi_j(\mathbf{r},t) = \sqrt{n_j}e^{-i\mu_j t/\hbar}$ of the form $\theta_j(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_q [u_{qj}(\mathbf{r})e^{\frac{-iw_q t}{\hbar}} + v_{qj}^*(\mathbf{r})e^{\frac{iw_q t}{\hbar}}]e^{-i\mu_j t/\hbar}$. Further, as for the single component case, we take the form of u_j and v_j as $u_{qj}(\mathbf{r}) = u_{qj}e^{i\mathbf{k_q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$, $v_{qj}(\mathbf{r}) = v_{qj}e^{i\mathbf{k_q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$. This in general can give a complicated dispersion relation for excitations in presence of multiple components. For a two component BEC, the dispersion relation has two distinct branches[29]. To simplify the system, we assume that all the intra-species scattering lengths are equal(a_1 say), so are the inter-species scattering lengths(a_2 say). Taking species with equal densities(n) and comparable masses(m) we get the following matrix structure for excitations

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_- & X & c & c & \cdots\cdots & & \\ X & U_+ & c & c & \cdots\cdots & & \\ c & c & U_- & X & c & c & \cdots\cdot \\ c & c & X & U_+ & c & c & \cdots\cdot \\ & & & \cdot & & & \\ & & & & \cdot & & \\ & & & & & \cdot & \\ & & & & & & \cdot \\ & & & \cdots\cdots & c & c & U_- \quad X \\ & & & \cdots\cdots & c & c & X \quad U_+ \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ u_s \\ v_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_\pm &:= \pm\, w\hbar - \frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2m} - g_1 n \\ X &:= -\, g_1 n \\ c &:= -\, g_2 n, \end{aligned}$$

where s is the total number of distinct components in the multi-component BEC. To get a non-trivial solution for excitations u and v on top of the uniform density ground state, the determinant of the $2s \times 2s$ square matrix in Eq.(6) must be zero. This condition gives us the following condition

$$\Big[w^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2}\Big(\frac{k^4}{4} + 4\pi n a_1 k^2 + (s-1)4\pi n a_2 k^2\Big)\Big]\Big[w^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2}\Big(\frac{k^4}{4} + 4\pi n a_1 k^2 - 4\pi n a_2 k^2\Big)\Big]^{(s-1)} = 0.$$

This equation gives us two branches of the dispersion relation as,

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \pm \frac{\hbar}{m}\Big[\frac{k^4}{4} + 4\pi n a_1 k^2 + (s-1)4\pi n a_2 k^2\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ w_2 &= \pm \frac{\hbar}{m}\Big[\frac{k^4}{4} + 4\pi n a_1 k^2 - 4\pi n a_2 k^2\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Excitations with non-local interactions

Let us now consider non-local pseudopotential for both intra-species and inter-species interactions in a multi-component BEC. Let the interaction pseudopotential be given by $V_{jj}^{eff}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = 3g_{jj}/4\pi(a_{jj})^3$ for $|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'| \leq a_{jj}$ and zero otherwise for the intra-species interaction. For the inter-species interaction, we take the interaction psuedopotential as $V_{ij}^{eff}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = 3g_{ij}/4\pi(a_{ij})^3$ for $|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'| \leq a_{ij}$ and zero otherwise. We would like to re-emphasize the fact that we do not claim the range of the pseudopotential to be exactly equal to that of the scattering length, but it is of the order of the scattering length, which is what is considered here to simplify the model.

Now, we write the non-local GP equation which can be derived from the energy functional in Eq.(4) by considering the interaction pseudopotential to be non-local, as given above. It is of the form

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_j(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi_j(\mathbf{r},t) + \frac{3g_{jj}}{(a_{jj})^3}\psi_j(\mathbf{r},t)\int_0^{a_{jj}} |\psi_j(\boldsymbol{\phi},t)|^2 d\boldsymbol{\phi} + \sum_l^{j\neq l}\Big[\frac{3g_{jl}}{(a_{jl})^3}\psi_j(\mathbf{r},t)\int_0^{a_{jl}} \psi_l^*(\boldsymbol{\phi},t)\cdot\psi_l(\boldsymbol{\phi},t)d\boldsymbol{\phi}\Big]. \tag{8}$$

As for the single component case, $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'$ and $d\boldsymbol{\phi}$ represents the infinitesimal volume element. The above equation admits a solution where each of the species have a uniform density, same as that for the local case. This can be verified by plugging in the form for different ψ_j as $\psi_j(\mathbf{r},t) = \sqrt{n_j}e^{-i\mu_j t/\hbar}$. As before, considering small amplitude oscillations $\theta_j(\mathbf{r},t)$ on top of this uniform density state, we get the following matrix by mode matching.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_- & B & D & D & ..... & & & \\ B & A_+ & D & D & ..... & & & \\ D & D & A_- & B & D & D & .... & \\ D & D & B & A_+ & D & D & .... & \\ & & & . & & & & \\ & & & & . & & & \\ & & & & & . & & \\ & & & & & & . & \\ & & & ..... & D & D & A_- & B \\ & & & ..... & D & D & B & A_+ \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ u_s \\ v_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ . \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_\pm &:= \pm\,\omega\hbar - \frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2m} - g_1 n\frac{\sin a_1 k}{a_1 k} \\ B &:= -\,g_1 n\frac{\sin a_1 k}{a_1 k} \\ D &:= -\,g_2 n\frac{\sin a_2 k}{a_2 k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here too we have considered the simplification that all intra-species scattering lengths are equal(a_1) and so are the inter-species scattering lengths(a_2).

As before, to get a non-trivial solution for excitations u and v on top of the uniform density ground state, the determinant of the $2s \times 2s$ square matrix in Eq.(9) must be zero. Since the matrix structure is similar to the one for the local multi-component case, we get the following equation

$$\Big[\omega^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2}\Big(\frac{k^4}{4} + 4\pi n a_1 k^2\Big(\frac{\sin a_1 k}{a_1 k}\Big) + (s-1)4\pi n a_2 k^2\Big(\frac{\sin a_2 k}{a_2 k}\Big)\Big)\Big] \times \Big[\omega^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2}\Big(\frac{k^4}{4} + 4\pi n a_1 k^2\Big(\frac{\sin a_1 k}{a_1 k}\Big) - 4\pi n a_2 k^2\Big(\frac{\sin a_2 k}{a_2 k}\Big)\Big)\Big]^{(s-1)} = 0.$$

This condition gives us two branches of the dispersion relation for excitations as

$$\omega_1 = \pm \frac{\hbar}{m}\Big[\frac{k^4}{4} + 4\pi n a_1 k^2\Big(\frac{\sin a_1 k}{a_1 k}\Big) + (s-1)4\pi n a_2 k^2\Big(\frac{\sin a_2 k}{a_2 k}\Big)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\omega_2 = \pm \frac{\hbar}{m}\Big[\frac{k^4}{4} + 4\pi n a_1 k^2\Big(\frac{\sin a_1 k}{a_1 k}\Big) - 4\pi n a_2 k^2\Big(\frac{\sin a_2 k}{a_2 k}\Big)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (10)$$

The above equations exhibit a roton minimum, similar to the one for a single component BEC with non-local pseudopotential. However, there is a crucial difference, since now the factor s in the above equation means that the dispersion relation would depend on the number of distinct species in the multi-component BEC. Also, for a single component BEC there was just one length scale for the scattering length. However, for a multi-component BEC, on top of the intra-species scattering length there exist inter-species scattering length as well.

As we had seen in the single component case with non-local interactions, the roton lowering was possible due to the $\sin ak$ term, since sin can become negative. In Eq.(10), a look at the branch ω_2 tells that to get an enhanced lowering of the roton minimum, the arguments $a_1 k$ and $a_2 k$ should be such that $\sin a_1 k$ is negative and $\sin a_2 k$ is positive. Also, we see that the ω_2 branch is similar, in structure, to ω_1 for $s = 2$ apart from the negative sign of $\sin a_2 k$. The only difference is that the value at which the enhancement of roton lowering occurs will now be at a different value of $a_2 k$ for the ω_1 and ω_2 branches. However, the values of a_2 would be of similar orders of magnitude and won't differ drastically for both the branches. Hence, we would now focus our attention only on the branch ω_1 since in what follows we would compare BEC with components 2 and above.

For the single component BEC, roton minimum was exhibited beyond the diluteness limit. Hence, it is important to study the variation of roton energy versus the scattering length for a multi-component BEC. In Fig.(2) we plot the roton energy as a function of inter-species scattering length for a two, three and four component BEC. The curve starts at a certain value of a_2, since the



FIG. 2: Figure shows the position(ω) of the roton minimum as a function of the inter-species scattering length(a_2) for a two, three and four component BEC in the presence of non-local inter-particle interaction pseudopotential. The density for each component is taken to be $n = 10^{15} cm^{-3}$ and the intra-species scattering length is fixed at $a_1 = 7.7 \times 10^{-6} cm$.

roton minimum appears in the dispersion relation only beyond a certain value of scattering length. This was also seen in Fig.(1), where the roton minimum appeared in the dispersion relation only beyond a certain value of scattering length. This figure shows that as we go on increasing the number of distinct species in a BEC, the roton lowering is achieved at a lower value of the inter-species scattering length. The value of density for each component of BEC considered while plotting Fig.(2) is $n = 10^{15} cm^{-3}$ and the intra-species scattering length is fixed at $a_1 = 7.7 \times 10^{-6} cm$. To note in Fig. (2) is the sharp fall in frequency(ω) of the roton minimum as the number of distinct components is increased.

The condition for three body losses to be negligible in a BEC is given by the diluteness condition $a^3 n << 1$. To note is the fact that while increasing the number of components in a BEC, the average density increases linearly with addition of each component. Thus, if we consider $n = 10^{15} cm^{-3}$ for each component, the average density of a multiple component BEC would also be of the order of $10^{15} cm^{-3}$, that is to say that the order of magnitude won't change drastically. Using this consideration, we see that for a multi-component BEC, roton lowering is achieved well in the diluteness limit, since the typical values of a_2 and a_1 considered here satisfy the inequality $a_1^3 n << 1$ and $a_2^3 n << 1$.

We can see that for finite k, setting the width of the pseudopotential interaction to zero, as is done for local interactions, would mean setting the scattering length to zero. One can see that as $a \to 0$, Eq.(10) would decouple the various dispersion relation for various components. This would essentially give us back the single component dispersion relation, which we would have got had we not considered the non-locality of the pseudopotential in the multi-component BEC.

The phenomenon seen in Fig.(2) of the roton mode softening at a lower value of a_2 as BEC components are increased, arises mainly because the inter-species interaction. The parameters given for achieving this are within the diluteness limit and hence three body losses are minimized. Thus such a roton lowering can be achieved in an experimental setup for a multi-component BEC by tuning the inter-species scattering length, while staying well in the diluteness limit.

DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have studied elementary excitations in a BEC in the presence of non-local s-wave interactions for multi-component BECs. We have just considered the s-wave scattering and not any other form of long range interactions. The non-local s-wave scattering pseudopotential is taken to be a rectangular barrier with width of the order of the s-wave scattering length. Previous works have shown that in the presence of non-local interaction pseudopotential, there appears a roton minimum in the dispersion relation, for a single component BEC. This roton minimum can be lowered by modifying the width of interactions, which can be taken to be of the order of the scattering length. However, for achieving this roton mode softening in a single component BEC, one has to go beyond the diluteness limit which may bring significant three body losses. This problem can be resolved by considering a BEC with multiple components. In a multi-component BEC in the presence of non-local interaction pseudopotential with width of the order of scattering length, there appears a similar roton minimum. Like for the single component BEC, this roton minimum too can be lowered by varying the inter-species scattering length. However, we have shown that as we go on increasing the number of different atomic species in a BEC, the point of lowering of the roton minimum can be obtained *well in the diluteness limit*. This is a big advantage in the sense that we can achieve roton mode softening in a multi-component BEC while staying well in the diluteness limit, thus obtaining the so called supersolid phase.

As the roton mode exhibits itself in a single-component BEC, it is but natural to expect such a roton minimum in a multi-component BEC as well. However, the roton mode softening for a single component BEC demands going beyond the diluteness limit. What is peculiar to the multi-component BEC is the softening of roton mode, at a fixed value of scattering length, just due to the presence of additional components. The more important feature is that this roton mode softening for a multi-component BEC can be achieved well in the diluteness limit. This roton mode softening comes about mainly because of the fact that every species in a multi-component BEC is represented by a particular wave-function. This brings about presence of cross coupling terms in the GP equation used to describe the multi-component system. Due to such terms, the small amplitude oscillation modes of one component couple to the ground state of other component at the expense of energy which helps lower the roton energy. This is seen as roton mode softening in the dispersion relation. The experimental techniques required for this process of roton mode softening are already in place. This machinery includes two chief techniques, namely that of obtaining a multi-component BEC and modifying the inter-particle scattering length. Thus we can readily bring about roton mode softening just by using s-wave scattering between atoms, thus achieving the so called supersolid phase experimentally.

I would like to thank my thesis supervisor Dr. Arijit Bhattacharyay for the discussions and help provided to give structure to this work. Also, the input provided by Dr. Peter Mason of Loughborough University was very helpful. This research is funded by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research(CSIR), India.

* abhijeet.pendse@students.iiserpune.ac.in

[1] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Science **269**, 198 (1995)

[2] W. K. et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **75, 3969** (1995)

[3] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Oxford Science Publications, 2003)

[4] L. Landau, Phys. Rev. **75** (1949)

[5] A. J. Leggett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **25**, 1543 (1970)

[6] G. Chester, Phys. Rev. A **2**, 256 (1970)

[7] N. Henkel, R. Nath, and T. Pohl, Physical review letters **104**, 195302 (2010)

[8] F. Ancilotto, M. Rossi, and F. Toigo, Physical Review A **88**, 033618 (2013)

[9] R. Mottl, F. Brennecke, K. Baumann, R. Landig, T. Donner, and T. Esslinger, Science **336**, 1570 (2012)

[10] P. Blakie, D. Baillie, and R. Bisset, Physical Review A **86**, 021604 (2012)

[11] L. Santos, G. Shlyapnikov, and M. Lewenstein, Physical review letters **90**, 250403 (2003)

[12] Y. Pomeau and S. Rica, Physical review letters **72**, 2426 (1994)

[13] T. Macri, F. Maucher, F. Cinti, and T. Pohl, Physical Review A **87**, 061602 (2013)

[14] S. Inouye, M. Andrews, J. Stenger, H.-J. Miesner, D. Stamper-Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Nature **392**, 151 (1998)

[15] D. McCarron, H. Cho, D. Jenkin, M. Köppinger, and S. Cornish, Physical Review A **84**, 011603 (2011)

[16] T. Fukuhara, S. Sugawa, and Y. Takahashi, Physical Review A **76**, 051604 (2007)

[17] D. C. Roberts and M. Ueda, Physical Review A **73**, 053611 (2006)

[18] S. Papp, J. Pino, and C. Wieman, Physical review letters **101**, 040402 (2008)

[19] D. Gordon and C. Savage, Physical Review A **58**, 1440 (1998)

[20] G. Thalhammer, G. Barontini, L. De Sarlo, J. Catani, F. Minardi, and M. Inguscio, Physical review letters **100**, 210402 (2008)

[21] E. P. Gross, Annals of Physics **9**, 292 (1960)

[22] C. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge University Press, 2001)

[23] H. Fu, Y. Wang, and B. Gao, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 053612 (2003)

[24] A. Collin, P. Massignan, and C. Pethick, Physical Review A **75**, 013615 (2007)

[25] H. Veksler, S. Fishman, and W. Ketterle, Physical Review A **90**, 023620 (2014)

[26] J. N. Kutz and B. Deconinck, in *Nonlinear Guided Waves and Their Applications* (Optical Society of America, 2002) p. NLTuD40

[27] J. J. Sakurai and J. Napolitano, *Modern quantum mechanics* (Addison-Wesley, 2011)

[28] R. W. Pattinson, *Two-component Bose-Einstein condensates: equilibria and dynamics at zero temperature and beyond* (Newcastle University, 2014)

[29] A. Alexandrov and V. V. Kabanov, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **14**, L327 (2002)